## **MEMORANDUM**

**Date:** February 19, 2020

**To:** Board of Directors

From: Mark Martin, General Manager

**Subject:** General Manager's Report – Updated February 19, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## **DEVELOPMENT RELATED**

Residences East – 670 Financing and Services Agreement (FSA) – Staff and District Legal counsel continue to work diligently to review FSA obligations of 670 FSA parties. As has been mentioned before, this matter is very complex requiring this effort given the change in RMCSD staff, change in ownership of the original parcels, changes to proposed development density, and additional costs incurred for the Water Treatment Plant (WTP) expansion. RMCSD has reached out to Economic and Planning Systems (EPS) to engage them as the 670 Fund manager as was stipulated in the 2014 FSA. EPS' role is to inventory and track accounting related to participating and reimbursing landowner funding obligations stipulated in the 670 FSA.

## **OUTREACH/ADVOCACY**

<u>January 28, 2020</u> – **CSD/RMCC (Country Club) General Manager's Meeting** – Requested preferred path and timing of patrols through RMCC golf courses. Discussed fee methodology for Measure J Security Tax and upcoming musical events planned for summer where individuals from the outside are invited.

<u>January 28, 2020</u> – **CSD/MVA (Murieta Village) General Manager's Meeting** – Discussed the MVA Board's desire for a security camera at the entrance to the Murieta Village. On February 10, I received a call from the MVA GM indicating the MVA Board is planning to send a letter of support for the security camera to CSD. It was made clear that representations made by individual residents about whether or not a camera was desired do not reflect the opinion of the MVA Board.

January 31, 2020 – Sacramento County Planning – Met with County Planning staff Associate, Senior and Principal Planners overseeing the Rancho Murieta area. Discussed large events permitting and coordination with local stakeholders, conditions of approval of Murieta Gardens project, and status and protocol for processing of Rancho Murieta North Project correcting practice that submittals be made by applicant directly to the County who will forward documents to the RMCSD and keep RMCSD apprised of the status of the project. Review comments will be returned to the County. It will be up to the County to disseminate referred party comments. As of the date of the meeting, County staff made clear the current status of the Rancho Murieta North project was on-hold. This was consistent with the status found on the County's online project tracking system. The County conveyed they have officially conceded to RMCSD's position that it would be inappropriate for RMCSD to serve in the role of administrator for a road maintenance agreement between private parties for the perpetual maintenance of private infrastructure between private parties.

<u>January 31, 2020</u> – **Mountain Counties Water Resource Association (MCWRA)** – Presentation and Q&A by head of Governor's Water Resiliency Portfolio Initiative. Some very good comments about the State doing a great job to expend a great amount of resources establishing new bureaucracies while few dollars are spent on actual

physical improvements and resources for impacted agencies necessary to meet the mandates of the new bureaucracies. Questions were posed as to why there is not just as much focus on the water impacted ecosystem of the foothills and mountain areas as is placed on the health of the Delta ecosystem. Those impacted believe there is a severe imbalance of Delta water interests to the detriment of upstream ecosystems.

<u>February 5, 2020</u> – **Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (SCGA)** – Special Meeting. Update on rate study. One member advocated a doubling of the base rate charged agencies to reduce the share paid by those who extract and use groundwater. Went on record to strongly oppose the proposal as it would double RMCSD's required contribution to the SCGA. Went on record thanking SCGA's Executive Director for bringing the matter of Sloughhouse Resource Conservation District's (SRCD) desire to assume the RMCSD's Board position on SCGA by their desired assumption of Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA) responsibility over the west Rancho Murieta area currently governed by SCGA. Conveyed concerns about SRCD's organizational readiness to assume the significant role of GSA. A number of SCGA members thanked me for the frank discussion and made clear RMCSD will be involved in future discussions including the next working group meeting on the topic.

<u>February 5, 2020</u> – Sacramento Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) Municipal Service Review (MSR) – Attended LAFCo meeting to submit and present updated MSR to LAFCo Board. The purpose of the exercise was to confirm the current services provided by RMCSD. This was the culmination of an assignment first given to RMCSD in 2015.

<u>February 7, 2020</u> – **CSD/RMA General Manager's Meeting** – Topics: FastPass marketing banners, overview of my discussion with Sacramento County Planning about Community impact events coordination with community stakeholders, my recommendation to the County to keep the RMA in mind as a community stakeholder when referring components of land use entitlements for review. Sacramento County Planning contacts forwarded to RMA to allow them to advocate for their participation in County land use review as appropriate. Reviewed Cal-Waste's offer to provide recycling dumpster and cans – RMA GM to serve as the initial point of contact. Approximately 60-70 recycling bins requested. Still working with Cal-Waste on the type of bin and to what extent there may be additional cost. RMA has requested options without cost which will likely limit options and potentially the count of recycling bins.

<u>February 10, 2020</u> – **Cosumnes River Land Development Meeting** – Meeting to discuss development matters related to Murieta Gardens I/II and Rancho Murieta North project. Conveyed verbally and via letter that Rancho Murieta North Project RMCSD review is to be placed on-hold consistent with the County's status of the project. Made clear process that requires applicant to submit review documents directly to the County for referral to RMCSD to ensure all parties are aware of submittals and project status. Likewise review comments will be sent directly back to the County for distribution to concerned parties.

<u>February 14, 2020</u> – Sacramento Central Groundwater Authority (SCGA)/Sloughhouse Resource Conservation District Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA) Boundary Working Group — At the meeting I presented RMCSD's concerns about SRCD's statement about them possibly assuming RMCSD's Board position on the SCGA Board, provided a map showing RMCSD's district boundaries in relation to South American and Cosumnes groundwater sub-basins, discussed the relevancy and practicalities of RMCSD remaining with SCGA as its GSA, and made clear RMCSD's preference to remain with the SCGA and on the SCGA Board. The working group members agreed that SRCD's prior stated priority was to manage rural groundwater concerns and that given the urbanized nature of Rancho Murieta, RMCSD's interests are more aligned with that of SCGA.